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The problem of antiplane deformation for a wedge-shaped region containing a uniformly moving screw dislocation is considered. A general solution of the problem is obtained using Laplace and Kontorovich-Lebedev integral transformations. It is shown during the solution that the method is suitable for a wedge apex angle greater than $\pi$. The limiting case, when the wedge-shaped region is a half-plane, is also considered. For this case the solution can be simplified considerably. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Problems of the unsteady motion of an edge dislocation in a half-plane [1], on the interaction of a moving screw dislocation and a cylindrical inclusion [2], and the problem of the motion of a screw dislocation in a strip [3] have been considered previously.

## 1. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

Consider a wedge-shaped region $-\varphi<\theta<\varphi(\theta$ is the polar angle) which contains a screw dislocation moving uniformly along a ray $\theta=0$ with velocity $v$. At the boundary of the region the strict closure condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbf{u}_{0}(r, \theta, z, t)\right|_{\theta= \pm \varphi}=0, t>0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given, where $u_{0}=\left(u_{r 0}, u_{\theta 0}, u_{20}\right)$ is the displacement vector. We will seek a solution in the form

$$
\mathbf{u}_{0}=\mathbf{u}^{d i s}+\mathbf{u}
$$

where the displacement vector $\mathbf{u}^{\text {dis }}$ describes the motion of the screw dislocation in the plane while $\mathbf{u}$ is the effect of the wedge boundary. The equations describing the motion of the screw dislocation have the form [4]

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{c}
u_{r}^{d i s}=0, u_{\theta}^{d i s}=0
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{l}
u_{z}^{d i s}(r, \theta, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi(r, \theta, t)-\eta(r, \theta, t), 0<\theta<\pi \\
-(\xi(r, \theta, t)-\eta(r, \theta, t)),-\pi<\theta<0
\end{array}\right. \\
\xi(r, \theta, t)=\frac{b}{2 \pi} \operatorname{arctg} \zeta(r, \theta, t), \eta(r, \theta, t)=\frac{b}{2} H(\zeta(r, \theta, t))
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& \zeta(r, \theta, t)=\frac{\gamma r \sin \theta}{r \cos \theta-a-v t}, \gamma=\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}, c=\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\rho}} \tag{1.2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b$ is the value of the Burgers vector, $c$ is the propagation velocity of transverse waves, $a>0$ is the distance from the origin of coordinates to the vertex of the dislocation at the instant of time $t=0, H(x)$ is the Heaviside function, while the branch $\operatorname{arctg}$ is chosen so that $\operatorname{arctg}(z) \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2)$.

By virtue of boundary conditions (1.1) and formulae (1.2), it is sufficient to determine the third component of the displacement vector $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{r}, u_{\theta}, u_{z}\right)$, since the other two are zero. The required function $u_{z}=w(r, \theta, t)$ must satisfy the following equation in the region considered

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial w}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial \theta^{2}}-\frac{1}{c^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}=0  \tag{1.3}\\
& r>0, \imath>0,-\varphi<\theta<\varphi
\end{align*}
$$

and the following conditions on the boundary of the region
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.w(r, \theta, t)\right|_{\theta=+\varphi}=-\left.w(r, \theta, t)\right|_{\theta=-\varphi}=-(\xi(r, \varphi, t)-\eta(r, \varphi, t)) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, the following condition must be satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(r, \theta, t) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } t \rightarrow+\infty \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which denotes that the effect of the dislocation on the boundary of the wedge-shaped region decreases with time.

## 2. SOLUTION

We will seek a solution in the form $w=w^{a}+w^{h}$, where the functions $w^{a}$ and $w^{h}$ satisfy Eq. (1.3) inside the wedge and the following conditions on the boundary

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.w^{\alpha}(r, \theta, t)\right|_{\theta=+\varphi}=-\left.w^{a}(r, \theta, t)\right|_{\theta=-\varphi}=f(r, t)=-\xi(r, \varphi, t)  \tag{2.1}\\
& \left.w^{h}(r, \theta, t)\right|_{\theta=+\varphi}=-\left.w^{h}(r, \theta, t)\right|_{\theta=-\varphi}=\eta(r, \varphi, t) \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be shown that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{w}_{\lambda p}^{a}=K_{i \lambda}\left(\frac{p}{c} r\right) \lambda \operatorname{sh}(\pi \lambda) \frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(A(\lambda, p) \frac{\operatorname{sh}(\lambda \theta)}{\operatorname{sh}(\lambda \varphi)}+B(\lambda, p) \frac{\operatorname{ch}(\lambda \theta)}{\operatorname{ch}(\lambda \varphi)}\right) e^{-p t} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(\lambda, p), B(\lambda, p)$ are certain unknown functions and $p$ is a real and positive parameter, is a particular solution of Eq. (1.3). Integrating Eq. (2.3) with respect to $\lambda$ and $p$ from 0 to $+\infty$, we obtain a solution of Eq. (1.3), which depends on the derivatives of the functions $A(\lambda, p), B(\lambda, p)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{a}(r, \theta, t)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{2}{\pi^{2}} \lambda \operatorname{sh}(\pi \lambda)\left(A(\lambda, p) \frac{\operatorname{sh}(\lambda \theta)}{\operatorname{sh}(\lambda \varphi)}+B(\lambda, p) \frac{\operatorname{ch}(\lambda \theta)}{\operatorname{ch}(\lambda \varphi)}\right) \mathrm{K}_{i \lambda}\left(\frac{p}{c} r\right) \mathrm{e}^{-p t} d \lambda d p \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the functions $A(\lambda, p)$ and $B(\lambda, p)$ we use boundary conditions (2.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.w^{a}(r, \theta, t)\right|_{\theta= \pm \varphi}=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{2}{\pi^{2}} \lambda \operatorname{sh}(\pi \lambda)( \pm A(\lambda, p)+B(\lambda, p)) \mathrm{K}_{i \lambda}\left(\frac{p}{c} r\right) \mathrm{e}^{-p t} d \lambda d p= \pm f(r, t) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(either the upper or lower signs are taken simultaneously).
Applying an inverse Laplace transformation and a direct Kontorovich-Lebedev transformation to the function $f(r, t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r, t)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{2}{\pi^{2}} \lambda \operatorname{sh}(\pi \lambda) \hat{f}(\lambda, p) \mathrm{K}_{i \lambda}\left(\frac{p}{c} r\right) \mathrm{e}^{-p r} d \lambda d p \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}(\lambda, p)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} g(r, p) \mathrm{K}_{i \lambda}\left(\frac{p}{c} r\right) \frac{d r}{r}, g(r, p)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{d-i \infty}^{d+i \infty} f(r, t) \mathrm{e}^{p t} d t \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating the integrands in (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain the unknown functions

$$
A(\lambda, p)=\hat{f}(\lambda, p), \quad B(\lambda, p)=0
$$

We will now analyse the solution obtained. Applying formula 5.8 (17) of [5] we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(r, p)=\frac{b}{2 \pi p} \exp \left(-\frac{a}{v} p\right) \exp \left(\frac{r \cos \varphi}{v} p\right) \exp \left(\frac{\gamma r \sin \varphi}{v} p\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last factor on the right-hand side increases without limit when $0<\varphi<\pi / 2$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$. The KontorovichLebedev transformation cannot be used in this case, and hence we will confine ourselves to considering a wedge with apex angle $\pi / 2<\varphi<\pi$. It can be shown that the function $g(r, p)$ satisfies the sufficient conditions for the Kontorovich-Lebedev transformation to be applicable [6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(r, p)| r^{-1} \ln \frac{1}{r} \in L_{1}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right), \lg (r, p) \left\lvert\, r^{-1 / 2} \in L_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2},+\infty\right)\right. \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that when $\pi / 2<\varphi<\pi$, by virtue of boundary conditions (2.2), the function $w^{h} \equiv 0$, and consequently $w=w^{\mu}$. We rewrite (2.8) in the form

$$
g(r, p)=\frac{b}{4 \pi p i} \exp \left(-\frac{a}{v} p\right)(\exp (-r z)-\exp (-r \bar{z})), z=-\frac{p}{v}(\cos \varphi+i \gamma \sin \varphi)
$$

To evaluate integral (2.7) we will use the properties of the Laplace transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \exp (-z r) \mathrm{K}_{i \lambda}\left(\frac{p}{c} r\right) \frac{d r}{r}=\int_{z}^{+\infty} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \exp (-s r) \mathrm{K}_{i \lambda}\left(\frac{p}{c} r\right) d r d s \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the expression for the Laplace transform for the function $K_{i \lambda}(r p / c)$ (formula 4.16 (24) in [5]) and evaluating the outer integral in (2.10), we obtain

$$
\hat{f}(\lambda, p)=\frac{b \pi}{4 p i} \frac{1}{\lambda \operatorname{sh}(\pi \lambda)} \exp \left(-\frac{a}{v} p\right)\left(\cos \left(\lambda \operatorname{arcch} \frac{c z}{v}\right)-\cos \left(\lambda \operatorname{arcch} \frac{c \bar{z}}{v}\right)\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{arcch} \omega)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\ln \left(\omega^{2}-1\right) \in(-\pi, \pi)\right.$.

## 3. THE CASE OF A HALF-PLANE

Let us consider a half-plane as the wedge-shaped region. In this case, boundary conditions (2.1) become

$$
\left.w(r, \theta, t)\right|_{\theta=\kappa / 2}=-\left.w(r, \theta, t)\right|_{\theta=-\pi / 2}=f(r, t)=-\frac{b}{2 \pi} \operatorname{arctg} \frac{\gamma r}{-a-v t}
$$

Using the formula for the inverse Laplace transformation for the function $f(r, t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(r, p)=\frac{b}{2 \pi p} \exp \left(-\frac{a}{v} p\right) \sin \left(r p \frac{\gamma}{v}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Condition (2.9) is not satisfied for the function $g(r, p)$ but the Kontorovich-Lebedev formula still applies

$$
\hat{f}(\lambda, p)=\frac{b}{4 p} \exp \left(-\frac{a}{v} p\right) \frac{\sin (\lambda s)}{\lambda \operatorname{ch}(\pi \lambda / 2)} ; s=\operatorname{arcsh} \frac{c \gamma}{v}>0
$$

Applying an inverse Kontorovich-Lebedev transformation to the solution (formula 12.1(2) of [7]) and using a Laplace transformation (formula 4.5(4) of [5]), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(r, \theta, t)=\frac{b}{2 \pi} \operatorname{arctg} \frac{r \gamma \sin \theta}{r \cos \theta+a+v t} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the displacement $u_{z 0}(x, y, t)$ we obtain, in a Cartesian system of coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{z 0}(x, y, t)=\frac{b}{2 \pi}\left(\operatorname{arctg} \frac{y \gamma}{x+a+v t}+\operatorname{arctg} \frac{y \gamma}{x-a-v t}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The method of reflections gives a similar result. It can be proved that solution (3.3) holds both when $y>0$ and when $v<0$.

Thus, when the initial configuration is identical with an elastic half-plane, the stress at the boundary is

$$
\left.\sigma_{x z}\right|_{x=0}=-\frac{\mu b}{2 \pi} \frac{2 y \gamma}{(y \gamma)^{2}+(a+v t)^{2}}
$$

where $\mu$ is the shear modulus. When $v>0$ the absolute value of $\sigma_{x z}$ falls off as $O\left(t^{-2}\right)$ when $t \rightarrow+\infty$. Hence, if we know the stresses at an arbitrary point on the boundary at different instants of time, we can determine both the direction of a uniformly moving screw dislocation and its position with respect to the point of observation.
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